Minnesota Department of



2014-2015 World's Best Workforce Report Summary

District or Charter Name <u>Braham Area Schools ISD #314</u> Contact Person Name and Position <u>Ken Gagner / Superintendent of Schools</u>

In accordance with Minnesota Statutes, section 120B.11, a school board, at a public meeting, shall adopt a comprehensive, long-term strategic plan to support and improve teaching and learning that is aligned with creating the world's best workforce. The school board must publish an annual report on the previous year's plan and hold an annual public meeting to review goals, outcomes and strategies. An electronic *summary* of the annual report must be sent to the Commissioner of Education each fall.

This document serves as the required template for submission of the 2014-2015 report summary. Districts must submit this completed template by **December 1**, **2015** to MDE.WorldsBestWorkForce@state.mn.us.

Stakeholder Engagement

Report

[Note: For each school year, the school board must publish a report in the local newspaper, by mail or by electronic means on the district website.]

• No formal plan was created for the 2014-2015 school year. The only written document referencing the plan was the summary report. This report is available at: http://brahamhs.ss5.sharpschool.com/cms/One.aspx?portalId=3118167&pageId=11938548

Annual Public Meeting

[Note: School boards are to hold an annual public meeting to communicate plans for the upcoming school year based on a review of goals, outcomes and strategies from the previous year. Stakeholders should be meaningfully involved, and this meeting is to occur separately from a regularly scheduled school board meeting. The author's intent was to have a separate meeting just for this reason.]

- Because no formal plan was created for the 2014-2015 school year the district has instead embarked on creating a District Advisory Committee composed of district and community members that will be meeting on regular basis to write the 2015-2016 plan. Invitations to join this committee:
 - o Hardcopies posted around school: November 16, 2015
 - o Electronic message sent to all district employees and PreK-12 families: Nov. 17, 2015
 - o Published in local newspaper (Braham Journal): Dec. 1, 2015

District Advisory Committee

[Note: The district advisory committee must reflect the diversity of the district and its school sites. It must include teachers, parents, support staff, students, and other community residents. Parents and other community residents are to comprise at least two-thirds of advisory committee members, when possible. The district advisory committee makes recommendations to the school board.]

• See response from above

Goals and Results

*Please note data collected – although shared only once - is often applicable in more than one category.

	2014-2015 Goal Results
All Students Ready	Four Year Old - Kindergarten Readiness Skills
for Kindergarten	Picture Naming ~ identify 26 in 1 minute
	Fall Spring
	% at or above target 44 97
	% close to target 44 0
	% far from target 12 3
	Letter Naming ~ Identify 14 in 1 minute
	Fall Spring
	% at or above target 39 78
	% close to target 17 11
	% far from target 44 11
	Rhyming ~ Identify 12 in 2 minutes
	Fall Spring
	% at or above target 17 86
	% close to target 28 0
	% far from target 55 14
	Alliteration ~ Identify 8 in 2 minutes
	Fall Spring
	% at or above target 26 81
	% close to target 23 0
	% far from target 51 19
	Letter Sound ~ Identify 10 in 1 minute
	Fall Spring
	% at or above target 22 73 % close to target 31 3
	% close to target 31 3 % far from target 47 24
All Students in	2014-2015 MMR 53.93% Celebration Eligible [2 nd highest rank]
Third Grade	2014-2013 WHYIN 33.95% Celebration Engine [2 nighest fallk]
	2014-2015 Proficiency Level 25 out of 25 points scored
Achieving Grade-	25 out of 25 points scored
Level Literacy	Grade Reading Math
	Braham State +/- Braham State +/-
	3 49.2% 58.7% -9.5% 72.3% 70.9% +1.4%

2014-2015 Goal Results

Reading Fluency:

Assessment ->	C	CBMR (2014-2015)								
	Fa	11	Spri	Growth						
Grade:	Braham	Target	Braham	Target						
1	<mark>17</mark>	21	<mark>69</mark>	70	52					
2	<mark>52</mark>	64	108	105	56					
3	<mark>83</mark>	95	125	129	42					

Reading Comprehension:

Assessment ->	aReading (2014-2015)								
	Fa	11	Spri	Growth					
Grade:	Braham	Target	Braham	Target					
1	440	433	469	468	29				
2	468	464	492	484	24				
3	489	480	502	501	13				

Math Comprehension:

Assessment ->	aMath (2014-2015)								
	Fa	11	Spri	Growth					
Grade:	Braham	Target	Braham	Target					
1	190	188	197	196	7				
2	<mark>195</mark>	196	204	199	9				
3	202	203	<mark>209</mark>	211	7				

Attendance rate:

- K 95%
- 1 95.1%
- 2 94.8%
- 3 96.2%

Close the Achievement Gap(s) Among All Groups 2014-2015 MMR (Elem.)

8.14 out of 25 points possible

Group	# of Students	Closing Achievement Gap							
		Read	ling (+= 0)	Math (+= @					
Special Ed	21	No	No -0.6207		-0.4569				
Free & Reduced	83 / 84	No	-0.2384	No	-0.3571				

2014-2015 Goal Results

2014-2015 MMR (HS)

7.87 out of 25 points possible

Group	# of Students	Closing Achievement Gap							
		Read	ding (+= 0)) Math (+= @					
Special Ed	31	No	No -0.5843		-0.4445				
Free & Reduced	71 / 78	No	<mark>-0.4968</mark>	No	-0.5028				

Attendance rates:

- 4 96%
- 5 95.5%
- 6 95.5%
- 7 95.4%
- 8 95.3%
- 9 96.3%
- 10 96.2%
- 11 95.7%
- 12 93.3%

All Students Career- and College-Ready by Graduation

Individual Learning Plans: None completed in 2014-2015. Students in 9th grade will be doing the career cluster inventory, interest profiler, IDEAS, SKILLS and work importance locator (MCIS). When completed, students will create a Personal Learning Plan, which they will keep up-to-date throughout their high school careers.

16% of juniors and seniors took at least one college level course.

66% of students in grades 9-12 enrolled in at least one CTE course.

Grade	Reading				Math			Science				
	Braham	State	+/-	Braham	State	+/-	Braham	State	+/-			
4	53.2%	57.9%	-4.7%	77.8%	77.0%	+.8%						
5	73.7%	63.7%	+10.0%	65.5%	59.7%	+5.8%	70.2%	59.1%	+11.1%			
6	70.4%	63.9%	+6.5%	71.8%	57.6%	+14.2%						
7	35.3%	55.7%	-20.4	36.8%	55.0%	-18.2						
8	42.6%	56.2%	-13.6	36.1%	58.0%	-21.9	34.4%	45.9%	-11.5			
10	50.9%	57.2%	-6.3				49.1%	54.9%	-5.8			
11				42.6%	48.7%	-6.1						

2014-2015 8th Grade EXPLORE assessment

Subject	English	Math	Reading	Science
Braham	14.4	15.1	13.7	15.6
National	14.7	15.5	14.6	16.6

	2014-2015 Goal Results												
	ACT: Scores from Braham Area Juniors												
	Subjec	t Er	nglish	Math	Reading	Scie	ence						
	Brahai	m 16	.4	18.8	19.2	19.0)						
	State	19	.1	20.9	20.6	20.8	20.8						
	ACT: Scores from Braham Area Seniors												
	Year	Total Tested En		nglish Math		ath	Rea	ding	Science		Com	posite	
		Dist.	State	Dist	. State	Dist.	St	Dist	St	Dist	St	Dist	St
	2015	18	46,86	21.9	21.8	22.7	22.8	22.7	23.0	22.8	22.7	22.7	22.7
All Students	2014-20	15 MN	AR (HS	S)	25 out	of 25 p	oints p	ossible	e				
Graduate	5 year a	averaç	je grad	duation	rate mee	ts 90%	6 grad	uation	rate ta	arget			
	Actual 2	2015 r	ate: 8	9.58%									

Identified Needs Based on Data

[Note: Data that was reviewed to determine needs may include state-level accountability tests, such as Minnesota Comprehensive Assessments (MCAs) and/or local-level data, such as local assessments, attendance, graduation, mobility, remedial course-taking rates, child poverty, etc.]

- > Again, as no plan was created, this report is based on data collected. This data included:
 - o Attendance
 - o FAST
 - o MCA
 - o MMR
 - o PLAN
 - o EXPLORE
 - o ACT
 - Local

Systems, Strategies and Support Category

Students

- > Describe the support offered to students during the 2014-2015 school year to meet the goals.
- First-Step and Kinderstep programs: either on a 2 or 3-day rotation.
- Title I, reading intervention teacher, SMART room, W.I.N. time and RTI
- After school program called Bomber Boost targets reading and math (gr. 1-6)
- Math Corps at the 7th and 8th grade
- National Honor Society provided tutorial and mentorship support for gr. 7 8
- Targeted math class (extra dose) for students of need in grades 7 and 8
- OLPA, FAST, MCA, and teacher input data was collected to determine needs. Progress
 monitoring through FAST was utilized to assess progress. MMR data was used for
 disaggregation

Teachers and Principals

- Describe the support offered to teachers and principals during the 2014-2015 school year to meet the goals.
- Staff Development funds are available for all staff members to increase instructional effectiveness
- Twenty-four opportunities were granted for workshop attendance
- Two contract days were devoted to in-house staff development
- Teachers receive four half-day opportunities to develop and refine curriculum
- Teachers receive five work days per contract year
- Teachers participate in two structured PLC's meetings per month (18 total)
- A stipend is provided for every PLC leader
- Time (one opportunity per teacher non cycle A) is provided for peer review
- A stipend is provided for peer reviewers
- All teachers are formally evaluated once per year and receive feedback from walkthroughs. Probationary staff and Cycle 'A' (part of a three year process) teachers receive three formal evaluations per year.
 - o 120 formal evaluations completed
 - o 93 walkthrough documents completed
- Principals participate in an annual evaluation [no formal evaluations completed in 14-15]

District

- > Describe the support offered at the district level during the 2014-2015 school year to meet the goals.
 - See data from above
 - PLC goals were set by each group and focused on some aspect of improved student achievement or school climate.